Bury Locality  Risk Description		mitig	ation	Actions	Completion Date for Actions		Post-mitigation		
A statement describing the risk event, cause and impact	Likelihood	Impact		The actions and activities planned to take place that will when implemented or completed reduce, eliminate or minimise the risk	Each action should have a completion date set	Likelihood	tana	tatus	
Risk: Inability to mobilise Information Management Technology (IMT) requirements  Cause: due to insufficient capital investment  Impact: suboptimal new models, in particular integrated neighbourhood working	4	5		<ul> <li>Refresh of IMT requirements within mobilisation plans</li> <li>Establishment of IMT Enabling Workstream within programme governance with single system leadership and clear set of system-wide deliverables and implementation plans</li> <li>Recruitment to specialist capacity and capability within PMO establishment</li> <li>Harness current and planned GM initiatives such as ISG and Datawell</li> <li>Build upon existing strengths such as Vision across General Practice</li> <li>System-wide risk share of financial and other implementation exit costs</li> <li>Submission of DTF bids for 2018/20</li> </ul>	31/12/2018	2	5	5 10	
Risk inability to identify high risk / priority cohorts  Cause: lack of dynamic risk stratification  Impact: resources won't be targeted to deliver improvements in outcomes / to reduce activity	4	5	20	<ul> <li>Review of current system risk stratification capabilities</li> <li>Identification of transformation model short, medium and longer-term risk stratification requirements</li> <li>Develop required risk stratification tools, building upon existing capabilities</li> </ul>	31/12/2018	2	5	i 10	
Risk: cuts to services  Cause: Efficiency / savings programmes across the system  Impact: on impact of transformation programmes / systems ability to transform	5	4	20	<ul> <li>System wide transparency and openness</li> <li>Joint planning</li> <li>Development of OCO and LCO</li> <li>Finance workstream to provide oversight of system-wide savings plans - to avoid double counting,</li> <li>Transformation prioritisation process to consider risks associated with existing services</li> </ul>	31/12/2018	3	4	1 12	
Risk: lack of necessary system working  Cause: lack of adequate risk share agreements across providers and commissioners - Bury, NES and GM  Impact: performance and savings ambitions will not be realised	4	4	16	<ul> <li>Collation and development of plans across provider footprints to determine the impact on each organisation.</li> <li>Ongoing engagement with stakeholders including NHSE, NHSI and GMHSC to develop an agreed approach.</li> <li>Shared understanding of baseline metrics</li> <li>Assessment and agreement of local risk appetite.</li> <li>Development of agreements which identify what the risk is, who is involved, and recognise non-financial risks</li> <li>Local risk share arrangements to be set out in LCO mutually binding agreement and Bury Investment Agreement (linked to transformation programmes)</li> <li>Provider commitment to establish risk and reward agreement contained in LCO mutually binding agreement</li> </ul>	31/03/2019	2	4	8	
Risk: workforce shortages for new care models  Cause: inability to recruit / develop skills required for new models  Impact: unable to implement new models	4	4		<ul> <li>Early understanding of workforce requirements</li> <li>Early proactive workforce planning</li> <li>Establishment of HR / Workforce Enabling Workstream within programme governance with single system leadership and clear set of system-wide deliverables and implementation plans</li> <li>Reallocation of existing resource, use of agency and consultancy support where appropriate</li> <li>PMO dashboard to report key resourcing issues</li> <li>GM workforce planning programmes</li> </ul>	31/09/2019	2	4	. 8	

Bury Locality										
Risk Description		mitig	ation	Actions	Completion Date for Actions		Post-mitigation			
A statement describing the risk event, cause and impact	Likelihood	Impact	RAG Status	The actions and activities planned to take place that will when implemented or completed reduce, eliminate or minimise the risk	Each action should have a completion date set	Likelihood	Impact	RAG Status		
Risk: business as usual performance will be impacted upon by transformational change  Cause: diversion of capacity and / or capability. Disruption to BAU caused by change programmes  Impact: failure to deliver quality and performance standards, e.g. NHS constitutional standards	3	4	12	<ul> <li>Ongoing performance monitoring and management via existing performance management structures, systems and processes - see capacity issue</li> <li>Development and implementation of transformation / change management programme</li> <li>Additional resource to be secured to undertake / backfill capacity released to deliver transformation where required</li> </ul>	31/12/2018	2	4	8		
Risk: transformation programmes don't deliver planned improvements  Cause: inaccurate planning / modelling assumptions, poor execution of programmes  Impact: sustainability, outcomes and performance improvements not realised	4	5	20	<ul> <li>Programme level impact / benefit modelling</li> <li>Locality plan aggregation sense checking, eliminating double counting</li> <li>PMO approach implemented to ensure successful delivery</li> <li>Programmes developed for transformation drivers (e.g. workforce, comms and engagement, IM&amp;T etc) to support transformation programme success</li> </ul>	30/09/2018	2	4	8		
Risk: local people, staff and other stakeholders don't buy-in to new models  Cause: failure to engage and involve them in the design, development and delivery of transformation programmes  Impact: required behaviour / culture changes not realised	4	5	20	<ul> <li>Establish Communications and Engagement workstream including system-wide representation</li> <li>Secure dedicated specialist resource to lead work programme development and delivery</li> <li>Development of comprehensive internal and external communications, engagement and involvement strategies and plans - including local people and staff working in H&amp;SC</li> <li>Develop communications and engagement toolkit to enable effective and consistent delivery</li> </ul>	31/12/2018	3	4	12		
Risk: inability to co-locate integrated neighbourhood teams  Cause: lack of suitable estate  Impact: inability to implement preferred integrated neighbourhood team model	4	4	16	<ul> <li>Establish Bury Strategic and Operational Estates Groups, ensuring system-wide representation</li> <li>Undertake neighbourhood asset review</li> <li>Map existing estate to neighbourhood footprints</li> <li>Identify future estate requirements - aligned to new models / ways of working</li> <li>Develop property options and scenarios' through the Bury SEG.</li> <li>Ensure integration with other enablers.</li> <li>Early id of resource needs, project responsibilities and reporting.</li> </ul>	31/12/2018	3	4	12		